IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.82 OF 2003

Suganchand Devichand Sethia ...Petitioner

Versus

Sulekha S.Naik & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Ms.Matisha i/b Mr.A.K.Saxena for Petitioner.

Mr.Dilip Rai for Respondents 1 & 2.

Mr.A.R.Patil, A.G.P. for Respondents 4 & 5.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

AUGUST 1, 2006.

P.C.

1. Perused the affidavit of Shri Dilip Rai, Advocate. Office objection regarding non-filing of Vakalatnama of the said Advocate being incorrect, is dispensed with. Counsel for the Petitioner submits that Petitioner is not interested in pursuing this remedy in view of the settlement arrived at with Respondents 2 and 3. Hence, this Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

2. Notices and order issuing bailable warrants against Respondents stand discharged.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.